

ZONING BOARD OF APPEAL

PETITION FORM



CITY OF SALEM, MASSACHUSETTS ZONING BOARD OF APPEAL

120 WASHINGTON STEET, 3RD FLOOR SALEM, MASSACHUSETTS 01970

Amy Lash, Staff Planner t. 978-619-5685/f. 978-740-0404 Thomas St. Pierre, Building Inspector t. 978-619-5641/f. 978-740-9846

TO THE BOARD OF APPEAL:		
The Undersigned represent that he/she is/are the owners of	a certain parcel of land located at:	
Address: 162 Federal Street	Zoning District: R-2	
An application is being submitted to the Board of Appear describe what you propose to build, the dimensions, the zon I am proposing to construct a 10' x 10' one story addition to District. The Zoning Ordinance requires the minimum department yard is 32 feet; the proposed addition would reduce the	ne property is in, and the zoning requirements. <u>Example:</u> to my home located at 3 Salem Lane, in the R-2 Zoning th of the rear yard to be 30 feet. The current depth of my	
see attached Statement of Grounds		
For this reason I am requesting: Artic (x) Variance(s) from provisions of Section _/	cle VI. Sec. 6-4, Table 1 of the Zoning Ordinance, specifically from	
	(i.e. minimum depth of rear yard).	
	ing unit (ft? sq ft? stories? %?), and what I	
am proposing is 1,666 s.f. per dwell		
	, Section 8-6 e Zoning Ordinance in order to change from one	
() Appeal of the Decision of the Building Inspecto	increase existing side yard set back or (described below): nonconformity	
() Appear of the Decision of the Danding Mopeeto	r (described below).	
4		
α		
The Current Use of the Property Is:	Are the lot dimensions included on the plan?	
(example: Two Family Home) office	(x) Yes () No n/a because	

The Undersigned hereby petitions the Board of Appeal to vary the terms of the Salem Zoning Ordinance and allow the project to be constructed as per the plans submitted, as the enforcement of said Zoning By-Laws would involve practical difficulty or unnecessary hardship to the Undersigned and relief may be granted without substantially derogating from the intent and purpose of the Zoning Ordinance.

PETITION FORM

The following written statement has been submitted with this application:

- () For all Variance requests a written Statement of Hardship demonstrating the following must be attached:
 - a) Special conditions and circumstances that especially affect the land, building, or structure involved, generally not affecting other lands, buildings, and structures in the same district;
 - b) Literal enforcement of the provisions of the Ordinance would involved substantial hardship to the applicant; and
 - c) Desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good, and without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent of the district or the purpose of the ordinance.

() For all Special Permit requests a Statement of Grounds must be attached. An application for a special permit for a nonconforming use or structure shall include a statement demonstrating how the proposed change shall not be substantially more detrimental than the existing nonconforming use to the neighborhood in accordance with Art. V, § 5-3. Such a statement should include reference to the following criteria:

- a) Social, economic, or community needs served by the proposal;
- b) Traffic flow and safety, including parking and loading;
- c) Adequacy of utilities and other public services;
- d) Impacts on the natural environment, including drainage;
- e) Neighborhood character; and
- f) Potential fiscal impact, including impact on City tax base and employment.

Previous applications to the Board of Appeals involving this property have been submitted with this petition form. The Building Commissioner can provide documentation of previous applications to the petitioner or his representative.

If different than petitioner:

Petitioner: William Wharff	Property Owner: <u>Health & Education Services</u> , Inc.
Address: One Carol Way, No. 404 Salem, MA 01970	Address: 131 Rantoul Street, Beverly, MA 01915
Telephone: 617-767-1847	Telephone: 978-921-1292
William Wharffly Signature Jeffer Sen	(see consent letter attached) Signature (Attached consent letter is also acceptable)
Merch 25, 2009 Date	Date
	If different than petitioner:
A TRUE	Representative:Tinti, Quinn, Grover & Frey, P.C.
ATTEST	Address: 27 Congress Street, Salem, MA 01970
	Telephone: 978-745-8065
	Signature Signature
	March 25, 2008 Date
	DATE SUBMITTED TO
	BOARD OF APPEALS:

STATEMENT OF GROUNDS 162 FEDERAL STREET

The Petitioner is proposing to convert the property at 162 Federal Street from its present use as an office building to nine (9) residential condominium units. The only change to the exterior that will be made as part of the conversion will be the construction of a new entry on the easterly side of the building. There will be sixteen (16) off-street parking spaces provided for occupants of the building.

The property is located in the R-2 zoning district, however, the use of the building as an office building by the current owner, Health and Education Service, Inc. and the structure itself are legally non-conforming pursuant to Article VIII, Sec. 8 of the Zoning Ordinance. The Petitioner's proposal constitutes a change in the nonconforming use and structure which can only be accomplished with a Special Permit issued by the Board of Appeals pursuant to Article VIII Section 8-6. The Board may grant such a Special Permit upon a finding that the proposed changes will not be substantially detrimental to the public good and will not nullify or substantially derogate from the intent and purpose of the Zoning Ordinance.

The project also requires a variance from the density regulations of the Zoning Ordinance relating to minimum lot area per dwelling. Article VI, Table I requires a lot area of 7,500 s.f. per dwelling unit in the B-2 District. In this instance, the area of the lot is 15,000 s.f. which results in a lot area per dwelling unit of 1,666 s.f.

The conversion of the building from the very intense use as an office building to owner occupied housing with on-site parking will substantially lessen the impact that the use of this property has on the surrounding neighborhood in terms of both pedestrian and vehicular traffic, congestion and noise. Since the multifamily use is entirely consistent and harmonious with the other uses in the area, the change to such a use will not derogate at all from the intent and purpose of the Ordinance. The Petitioner's proposal is a valuable opportunity to replace a less desirable use with one that is compatible with other properties in the neighborhood. In addition, the property will be converted from non-profit use that does not generate tax revenue to a use that will significantly contribute to the City's tax base.

The building at 162 Federal Street is substantially larger than any other residential structure in the area, consisting of approximately 14,000 s.f. To convert it from commercial to residential use requires a complete reconstruction of the interior of the building. The only way to achieve the conversion of the building to residential use is with a certain level of density to support the costs of the rehabilitation. The literal enforcement of the requirements of the B-2 District will prevent the conversion of this property, causing hardship to the property owner and the community. For the same reasons set forth above, desirable relief may be granted without substantial detriment to the public good and without nullifying or substantially derogating from the intent of the District or the purpose of the Ordinance.

